LOW-LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE FORUM

DISUSED SOURCES WORKING GROUP

PROGRESS REPORT



Background - 1

- Sealed sources have many beneficial uses
- Vast majority of licensees manage their sources properly
- National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA)
 considers thousands of disused sources in storage to be risk-significant from a national security perspective
- Users reluctant to reuse/recycle/dispose partially because of cost
- NNSA requested the Low-Level Radioactive Waste Forum, Inc. (LLW Forum) establish a working group to provide recommendations to reduce the national security risk from disused sources





Background - 2

- March 2011 LLW Forum passed resolution authorizing the Disused Sources Working Group (DSWG)
- NNSA issued grant to fund DSWG
- Radiation Source Protection and Security Task
 Force Energy Policy Act of 2005
- Findings and recommendations of DSWG do not necessarily represent the views of all individual members of LLW Forum
- GTCC, transuranic, and federal responsibility sources not addressed because beyond scope of DSWG





Working Group Members

- Max Batavia Atlantic Compact
- Brad Broussard Radioactive Materials Division,
 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
- Mike Garner Northwest Compact
- Kathryn Haynes Southeast Compact
- Rusty Lundberg Division of Radiation Control, Utah
 Department of Environmental Quality
- Alyse Peterson New York Energy Research & Development Authority
- Leonard Slosky Rocky Mountain Compact (Chair)
- Stan York Midwest Compact





Working Group Process

- 18 meetings over 31 months
- Stakeholder meetings
 - DOE, NRC, DOD, DOT
 - States, Compacts, CRCPD, OAS, HPS
 - Manufacturers, distributors, recyclers, brokers/processors
 - Disposal facility operators
- Commented on Concentration Averaging and Encapsulation BTP (CA BTP) and 10 CFR 61 rulemaking
- □ Final report to LLW Forum March 17-18, 2014
- Final report to NNSA March 31, 2014





Disposal Landscape Has Changed

- Texas Compact facility began accepting outof-compact waste including sealed sources
- Utah granted a time-limited (1 year) license variance to allow disposal of Class A sealed sources
- NRC finalizing CA BTP which may provide expanded opportunities for source disposal at existing sites
- Availability of disposal options has not resulted in a rush to disposal





- □ DSWG considers Category 1 3 sources to "pose a risk to national security" if misused
- Life-cycle cost not internalized
 - Purchasers may not be aware of or planning for disposal costs
- Thousands of disused sources stored indefinitely
- It is unknown how many sources there are that pose a risk to national security, how many are disused, and where they are located
 - NRC and NNSA databases are not adequate for these purposes



- Regulatory system is inadequate to control national security risks in a post – 911 threat environment
 - IAEA Category 3 sources (that can be aggregated to be a risk-significant quantity) should not be "generally licensed"
 - All sources that pose a risk to national security are not tracked
 - Financial assurance requirements do not apply to most sources that pose a risk to national security and do not cover the full disposal cost
 - Current regulatory framework does not promote recycle, reuse, disposal – opportunities for reuse, recycle, and disposal are underutilized
 - Some imported disused sources may not have
 commercial disposal pathway



- Sources in long-term storage are at greater risk to loss of control – inadequate incentives for timely disposition
- Some manufacturers/suppliers/brokers have very large inventories of disused sources
- Type B shipping containers required for high activity sources are in short supply and very expensive
- While additional Type B containers are coming on the market, still appears shortage of shipping containers for high activity disused sources





- Current regulations may provide unintended incentives for users to delay disposition of disused sources
- Licensees who do not frequently ship sealed sources lack expertise for packaging, transportation, and disposal





- CRCPD has been effective in disposing a number of disused sources
- NNSA Off-Site Source Recovery Project (OSRP) and CRCPD programs may provide disincentives for users to dispose in a timely manner
- Long-term solution hold licensees who benefit from the sources responsible for proper reuse/recycle/disposal
- Comprehensive approach is needed to address entire life-cycle of a source – all facets of the system contribute to the problem and must be part of the solution





- Actions to reduce sources in storage
 - Inform licensees of life-cycle costs and alternative technologies prior to purchase
 - Internalize life-cycle costs through financial assurance requirements
 - Research funding organizations should provide preferences for use of existing sources and require budget for disposal
 - Research alternative technologies and promote their use





- Actions to reduce sources in storage (cont.)
 - Establish reuse/recycle "exchange" program
 - Study additional methods to promote recycle/reuse
 - Enhance/establish NRC and state funds for "orphan" sources
 - NRC reassess import of foreign disused sources that may not have a commercial disposal pathway





- NRC/states enhance regulatory system to fully address the national security risk of sealed sources
 - Require specific licenses for Category 3 sources
 - Track Category 3 sources in the NSTS
 - Enhance the NSTS to include information on disused aspects of sources and validate information during inspections
 - Broaden financial assurance requirements to cover Category
 1 to 3 sources and cover full cost of shipment and disposal
 - Enhance enforcement of existing two-year time limit disused sources can be stored and give regulators authority to require disposition
 - Manufacturers/suppliers/brokers reduce disused source inventories

Incorporate best practices already in use by states

- Actions to ensure timely source disposition
 - Licensees pay annual possession fee (e.g., Oregon)
 - Technical outreach & assistance program to help licensees package, transport, dispose of sources (e.g., CRCPD, HPS, EPRI)
 - States that "host" Class B&C disposal facilities should review their policies, WAC, and CA BTP to potentially allow disposal of higher activity sources
 - Support Utah's limited license variance allowing the disposal of Class A sources at the Clive facility
 - Texas Compact continue to allow disposal of sources from outside the Compact





- Increase availability and reduce cost of Type B shipping containers
 - NNSA take additional steps to increase supply of commercial Type B containers – conduct market analysis of demand for Type B containers and submit application to certify foreign package designs for domestic use
 - Develop process to provide licensees and states at least one-year advance notice of container certificate expiration and encourage reuse/recycle/disposal of the affected sources before the certificate expires
 - NRC and DOT should expeditiously review new Type B NNSA package designs, NNSA applications for foreign package designs, and packages developed by industry





- DOE needs to maintain ability to recover "orphan" sources that pose national security threat via Orphan Source Recovery Project (OSRP)
- NNSA/CRCPD should reevaluate their programs and develop a transition plan to ensure that they do not provide unintended incentives for users to delay disposition or avoid full responsibility for disposition of their sources





Finalizing and Submitting Report

- DSWG is currently finalizing and assembling report
- Final DSWG report will be released at LLW Forum meeting on March 17-18 in Austin, Texas
- Final DSWG report will be available by April 1 on LLW Forum website at www.llwforum.org
- For additional information, contact LLW Forum at (754) 779-7551 or <u>LLWForumInc@aol.com</u>



