U.S. EPA Superfund Remedial Program Collaboration with International Community on Radiation Sites Issues

Stuart Walker Headquarters Robert Pope Region 4 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Presented to the WM 2013 Symposia in Phoenix, AZ on February 27, 2013



Purpose

Provide overview of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Superfund site remediation program's efforts at international collaboration on radiation sites

» There are international collaboration efforts on other issue areas with EPA not discussed here

 Provide synopsis of EPA collaboration with our two most intensive partners:

» French nuclear safety agency (ASN)

» UK Environment Agency (EA)



Part 1. International Outreach



Need for Collaboration

 International community focusing more efforts on remediation of legacy sites and uranium mines

These are critical issues within the US also, and EPA is involved in remediating both types of sites

◆ EPA can:

» exchange information on remediation at similar site types

» Participate in meetings and workgroups

 Shrinking resources make collaboration even more important



Superfund Remediation sites: Number and Progress

1,304 NPL sites
66 are radiation sites
59 mores sites proposed for NPL
1 is a radiation site
1,124 NPL sites are "construction completion"
38 are radiation sites
360 Sites have been deleted from NPL
9 are radiation sites



Role of International Information

- EPA does not use risk management framework used by ICRP/IAEA and most other agencies addressing radiation
- However, EPA does use technical information from international guidance
 - » EPA has contractual agreement with Oak Ridge National Lab (Keith Eckerman) which also produces much of health effect material for ICRP
 - » EPA slope factors for risk are modified from ICRP dose conversion factors
 - » EPA risk assessment model (PRG calculator) uses IAEA transfer coefficients



International Information Sharing

Representatives from Australia, France, United Kingdom, Iraq, Japan, Norway, and Russia have presented at annual EPA internal meeting with field staff and conducted site visits

EPA staff work with IAEA on workgroups, workshops, hosting IAEA sponsored Iraqi staff.

EPA staff work with NATO, Russia, and Norway on workshops, meetings, on the issue of remediation of contaminated sites in Northern Russia





Synopsis of U.S. EPA Superfund and French ASN Collaboration on Radiation Sites





Activities to Date

 April 2003: ASN delegation met with EPA staff to discuss Superfund radioactive cleanup

- February 2004: ASN delegation attended EPA's National Radiation Superfund Meeting in Las Vegas.
 - » Visited 3 polluted sites (Rocky Flats, Shattuck, Lowry Landfill) and 3 disposal facilities (Energy Solutions, Nevada Test site, proposed Yucca Mountain)



September 2005: ASN met with EPA in Washington, DC
» Visited Hanford polluted site
November 2005: EPA delegation met with ASN and DPPR in Paris.
» Visited Marcoule polluted site and 2 waste disposal facilities (Centre de l'Aube, CSTFA-VLL)







 February 2006: ASN attended EPA's National Superfund Radiation meeting in Salt Lake City
 » Visited Kennecott polluted site and Envirocare/Energy Solution disposal facility
 February 2007: ASN attended EPA's National Superfund Radiation meeting in San Francisco
 » Visited Livermore polluted site and NARAC emergency response plume modeling center



 December 2007: EPA attended ASN's conference on Post Nuclear Radiological Accident Management in Paris



 April/May 2008: ASN attended EPA's National Superfund Radiation meeting in Las Vegas

» Site visits to 2 polluted uranium mine sites (Northeast Church Rock, United Nuclear Corporation) and 3 waste disposal facilities (WIPP, Nevada Test site, Yucca Mountain).

 March/April 2009: ASN attended EPA's National Superfund Radiation meeting in Albuqueque

» Site visits to 2 polluted uranium mine sites (Northeast Church Rock, United Nuclear Corporation) and 2 waste disposal facilities (WIPP, WSC).



 March 2011: ASN attended EPA's National Superfund Radiation meeting in Savannah

- » Site visits to 1 polluted site (DOE Savannah River Site).
- June 2012: ASN attended EPA's National Superfund Radiation meeting in Signal Hill
 - » Site visits to 2 polluted sites (DOE Santa Susanna, Halaco).











Information Exchange

Topics of most mutual interest during collaboration Site cleanup level selection D&D Risk/dose assessment Stakeholder involvement Remediation technologies Groundwater protection Disposal cell design





Synopsis of U.S. EPA Superfund and UK EA Collaboration on Radiation Sites





Page-19

Background

USEPA convention held in Las Vegas -2007

EA hosted a visit by US EPA in 2008 to Sellafield. The objective of this was to:

- » Develop our links with the US EPA
- » Provide an opportunity to share experience and best practice
- » Allow US EPA to understand our regulatory work and enforcement in England, with specific focus on Sellafield
- » Allow EA to understand the US EPA regulatory work and enforcement in US, with specific focus on Savannah River Site. Sellafield Team functioning, as a team and within Environment Agency as a whole.
- » Understand how standards are developed and applied



EPA Site Manager 1 year detail

UK EA hosted EPA site manager Kathy Setian for 1 year. Kathy

» Worked on review report on land and groundwater protection efforts at all EA legacy sites

» Developed a template of environmental management issues needing to be addressed at each site



Proposal- Approach

We wish to continue with, and develop further our links with the US EPA (et al).
Development of an agreement?
Remote information share and interaction?
Participate in site and team inspection?
Regulatory exchange scheme?



Proposal - Value



To enable our approach to regulation to take account of: » inspection methodology and application » international standards » assessments » bench marking » application of best practice » innovation



Proposal - Challenges

- Funding availability and allocation
- Resource availability, commitment, experience etc,
- Time potentially very time consuming
- Cultural differences
- Perception of transfer of responsibilities
- Different regulatory regimes



Conclusions

- We have agreements, working arrangements or have established links with several Regulatory organisations to date.
- We have started to develop a link with the US EPA. We believe opportunities exist to further develop this link, through a variety of mechanisms.
- A balance must be struck against the value and challenges of collaboration in order to obtain the best outcome for the parties concerned.





Listing of additional U.S. EPA Superfund International Collaboration on Radiation Sites





Page-26

Activities to Date

 June 2005: Third Bratislava Exchange Meeting (St. Petersburg, Russia)

 November 2005: WPDD Core Group of the OECD/NEA meeting on stakeholder involvement (Brussels, Belgium)

 September 2007: NATO Workshop on Challenges in Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Regulation of the Nuclear Legacy (Moscow, Russia)

 June 2008: Workshop on Application of Radioecology to Regulation of Nuclear Legacy Management (Bergen, Norway)



 June 2008: International Conference on Radioecology and Environmental Radioactivity (Bergen, Norway)

 May 2009: ICRP Conference on Remediation of Land (Astana, Kazakhstan)

 June 2009: EPA Conference on Stakeholder Involvement attended by Russian agency representatives (Seattle, Washington)

 September 2009: IAEA EMRAS Workgroup (Vienna, Austria)



 October 2010: IAEA International Working Forum on Regulatory Supervision of Legacy Sites (Vienna, Austria)

 September 2011: IAEA workshop on Risk and Hazard Communication and Stakeholder Involvement in Environmental Remediation (Issyk Kul, Kyrgyzstan)

 June 2012: IAEA workshop on Lifecycle Environmental Management Approaches for Remediation Projects (Vienna)







