





#### **US DOE / UK NDA Bilateral Agreement**

Rosa Elmetti, International Programs, US DOE John Mathieson, Head of International Relations, UK NDA Graham Jonsson, Deputy Head Sellafield Site, UK NDA Laurie Judd, VP Government Programs, NuVision Engineering

> Waste Management Symposium Wednesday February 27<sup>th</sup> 2013

### **Bilateral Agreement/Statement of Intent**

- What is it ?
  - An agreement between UK NDA and US DOE to share information and lessons learned in the fields of nuclear technology, legacy waste management, spent fuel management, D&D, contracting approaches, geological disposal .....
- Why does it exist?
  - Scale and scope of respective programs are similar
  - Technical issues and challenges are similar
  - Reducing budgets are driving the need for collaboration, cooperation and a renewed focus on 'lessons learned' and information sharing





2

## **Focal Points to Date**

- Because of the similarities in the programs, there are numerous possibilities for collaboration
- Focus to date has been on a relatively small number which offer the greatest potential to both parties

#### Spent fuel management

- > Aging facilities management
- Non standard fuels disposition
- Fuel drying technologies and dry storage
- Plutonium management

#### D&D approaches and technologies

- Decontamination technologies e.g. Decon Gel
- > α-plant decommissioning
- In situ decommissioning
- Sodium Passivation





## **Focal Points to Date**

- Waste Management and Stabilization
  - Alternative Thermal Treatment Technologies
    - GeoMelt, HIP
  - PJM/Black cell operations
  - Glass chemistry/formulation
  - Tank corrosion and structural integrity
  - Ion exchange resin disposal
  - Sludge retrieval
- Description Other
  - Supply chain management
  - Contracting/Partnering approaches
  - Site security approaches and technologies





# **Typical Process**

- Identify and agree topic area
- Conference call with interested parties to share information, identify areas of overlap and complementarity
- Form smaller, focused teams on specific areas of interest
- Exchange information/reports etc via email
- Hold regular conference calls until it makes sense to engage person-to-person
- Arrange mutual visits and/or workshops
- Facilitate relationships between parties to develop joint Task Plan
- Support the process until it becomes self-sustaining





## **Measuring Success**

- Tangible benefits
  - Joint R&D programs
  - R&D leveraging
  - Technical input which obviates the need for R&D
- Intangible benefits
  - Development of technical communities
  - Formal and informal information exchange which improve operations, avoid expenditure etc





# **Example: Spent Fuel Management**

- "Spent Fuel Management" topic area identified in September 2009
- Calls held every 6-8 weeks
- Workshop arranged in Washington DC, Sept 2010
  DOE HQ, DOE SR, DOE ID, NDA, UK NNL, Regulators
- Identified four key areas
  - Aging facilities management
  - Non standard fuel disposition
  - Fuel drying and dry storage
  - Plutonium management





# **Example: Plutonium Management**

- Initial Plutonium Management call held December 2010
- Multiple areas of overlap and interest identified
- Classified Technical Exchange Meeting held April 2011
  DOE, NDA, SRNL, PNNL, NNL, Sellafield Ltd, ONR
- Top priority topics agreed
- Leveraged programs developed
  - Area 1
    - DOE has funded Phase 1 work and shared the results with NDA
    - NDA is about to fund complementary scope of work which has been developed with DOE input and will share results with DOE





# **Example: Plutonium Management**

#### **- Area 2**

- DOE has been taking an experimental approach whereas NDA has been taking a modeling approach
- DOE has shared experimental data to help NDA refine its model and NDA has made model available to DOE
- Both parties get double the benefit from the same level of individual expenditure
- "Routine" calls continue on a ~bimonthly basis to monitor progress and to develop additional leveraged programs





### **Example: Aging Facilities Management**

- Initial AFM call held in January 2011
- NDA had just commissioned a report to identify possible issues and remedies in long term asset management
- Multiple calls were held during the report development to share data generated to date and to solicit DOE input
- Generated multiple areas of collaboration
  - Sampling data from decommissioned basins in the US and its relevance to longevity predictions in the UK
  - In situ inspection of fuel bundles
  - Pond/basin construction and integrity management
  - Integrity of fuel and fuel cans during and after long term storage
  - Retrieval, inspection and repackaging approaches and experience
  - Non intrusive monitoring technologies and approaches





10

## **Observations**

- It is a time-consuming, labor intensive process
  - 2-3 years to make any significant progress
  - Takes time for each side to really understand the similarities and differences between their respective programs
- Topic areas start very general, then become a little more specific and then very specific
  - Each level takes a similar amount of time and effort to develop and to build the relationships as they involve 'new' participants





## **Observations**

- Greatest success is achieved when there is a clear "driving force" on both sides
- Need a dedicated, committed protagonist on both sides
- Generally, participation is an "in addition to" assignment
- Commercial sensitivities and lack of available funding can impede progress





## **Summary of Results**

- Excellent progress has been made
- > Joint R&D activities are underway
- Leveraged funded programs have been developed and have demonstrated tangible, financial benefits to both parties
- Numerous information exchange activities have been completed or are underway in multiple topic areas
- Strong "Communities of Practice" forming across the board at all levels
  - DOE, NDA
  - Prime contractors Sellafield Ltd, CWI, Babcock, Dounreay Site Restoration Ltd
  - National Labs NNL, INL, SRNL, PNNL





Nuclear Decommissioning Authority

## **Summary of Results**

- Numerous face-to-face information exchange visits have been completed between key technical experts
  - Idaho: HIP
  - West Valley/Hanford: Remediation/D&D/Change management
  - WIPP: Stakeholder engagement
  - Sellafield: PJM/Black cell operations
  - Sellafield: Contracting
  - Dounreay: Spent fuel management
  - Idaho and SRS: MOX, new generation reactor





## **Next Steps**

- Continue to develop current topic areas to further leverage expertise, experience and funding
- Identify and add more topic areas as the need arises
- Begin the process of comparing R&D plans to identify common issues and leveraging opportunities



