

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Protecting People and the Environment

Low-Level Radioactive Waste Blending

A. Christianne Ridge Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission March 2010



- Background NRC engagement
- Current NRC position
- Considerations
 - Technical
 - Practical
 - Regulatory
 - Policy
- NRC path forward



NRC Engagement

- Recent stakeholder requests for clarification of NRC position
- Replies summarize current NRC position
- Commission paper in April 2010
- Four public meetings held



Current NRC Position

- Blending is not addressed in NRC regulations
- NRC guidance discourages blending but acknowledges it as appropriate at times
- Blending is mixing waste with waste, not dilution with clean material
- Waste classification is related to disposal

Waste Classification Table¹ 10 CFR 61.55

Radionuclide	Concentration, Ci/m ³		
	Col. 1 (Class A limit²)	Col. 2 (Class B limit ²)	Col. 3 (Class C limit²)
Total of all radionuclides with < 5 yr half-life	700	n/a	n/a
H-3	40	n/a	n/a
Co-60	700	n/a	n/a
Ni-63	3.5	70	700
Ni-63 in activated metal	35	700	7000
Sr-90	0.04	150	7000
Cs-137	1	44	4600

¹This table defines waste classes for short-lived radionuclides. A separate table in 10 CFR 61.55 (not shown) defines waste classes for long-lived radionuclides.

²If concentration does not exceed value in column 1, waste is Class A. If concentration is > col. 1 and < col. 2, waste is Class B. If concentration is > col. 2 and < col. 3, waste is Class C. If > col. 3, waste is generally not acceptable for near-surface disposal



Guidance

- 1995 Concentration Averaging Branch Technical Position
- 1981 Volume Reduction Policy Statement
- Risk-informed, performance-based regulation
- Disposal vs. storage



Technical Issues - Examples

- Radioactivity at Class A facilities
- Role of waste classification intruder protection
- Waste characterization and homogeneity
- Dose comparisons
- Onsite waste storage



Practical Issues - Examples

- Timing of waste classification
- Disposal access and capacity
- Resin removal



Regulatory Issues - Examples

- Potential paths forward: regulation, policy statement, new or revised guidance
- Rulemaking considerations
 - Compatibility
 - Opportunity for public comment
 - NEPA analysis
- Potential guidance revisions
 - Concentration averaging BTP
 - Volume Reduction Policy Statement



Policy Issues - Examples

- Consistency with previous NRC policies and guidance
- Disposal cost and availability
- Consequences for other waste streams



Path forward

- Options
 - Maintain status quo
 - Revise guidance
 - Issue policy statement
 - Promulgate rulemaking

No decision has been made